

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/086,026	ODOM ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Anh Ly	2162

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Anh Ly. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Michael Spech (Reg. No.: 54,463)t. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 August 2007

**Time:** 9:15AM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed: Correction the "claim1" to "claim 1", and explaining the term "claim 1" in claims 29 and 39, that is, the applicant representative said the "claim 1" here is that all limitations of claim 1 for topics including word combinations must be included in here of claim 29 and 39 (the limitations of topics having the characteristics as specified in claim 1: topic and contiguous words (two or more) in word combination). .